The effects of interval—exercise duration and intensity on oxygen consumption during treadmill running
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Summary
The magnitude of improvement in peak oxygen uptake (\( \dot{V}O_2 \)peak) and performance to an exercise training regime is related to the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) of prior accumulated exercise training bouts. However, it is unclear whether constant rate training (CRT) or interval training (INT) preferentially alters the \( \dot{V}O_2 \) of running exercise. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to compare the acute \( \dot{V}O_2 \) response to constant, and interval training sessions. Consequently, this study compared the mean average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) of 17 moderately trained participants to a 20-min CRT and two different 20 min INT treadmill runs. Participants completed three treatments (twice) in random order over 3 weeks. In 1 min INT participants completed 10 × 1 min efforts at the velocity corresponding to \( \dot{V}O_2 \)peak (\( V \)peak) interspersed with 10 × 1 min efforts at 0.5\( V \)peak. In the 2 min INT, participants completed 5 × 2 min efforts at the \( V \)peak interspersed with 5 × 2 min efforts at 0.5 at \( V \)peak. In CRT participants ran at a velocity equivalent to the mean velocity of INT (75% \( V \)peak). Mean average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) for 2 min INT, 1 min INT and CRT were, respectively, 3200 ± 661; 3076 ± 6041; 2909 ± 584 ml min\(^{-1}\). Both INT sessions resulted in a significantly higher mean average \( \dot{V}O_2 \) than CRT. Furthermore, 2 min INT resulted in 90% of \( \dot{V}O_2 \)peak being exceeded more frequently than 1 min INT. We conclude that INT serves as a more potent stimulus for improvement in \( \dot{V}O_2 \)peak and subsequent endurance performance than CRT.

Introduction
An important determinant of maximum speed of running locomotion is the maximum metabolic power an athlete can generate over an event.
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distance. A major physiological component contributing to metabolic power and endurance performance is peak oxygen uptake (VO2peak). Therefore the improvement of VO2peak is an important focus of an endurance athlete’s training. Consequently, athletes engage in training strategies, such as sub-maximal constant rate (CRT) and interval training (INT), to improve their VO2peak. Unlike CRT, INT involves manipulating the peak and nadir velocity and work-ratio of training in order to gain an advantage over CRT. Midgley and McNaughton suggest that the training strategy that results in the greatest physiological disturbance (reflected by the VO2 of the exercise task), should over a number of accumulated training sessions, produce a greater change in VO2peak. While both CRT and INT strategies may result in physiological disturbance, it remains unclear whether either strategy results in greater physiological response than the other. Additionally, it remains unclear how manipulation of INT duration and frequency affects the average VO2 during training. Knowledge of the physiological response to CRT and INT training programmes would be beneficial to athletes, as it may provide them with greater insight on the optimal strategy to enhance VO2peak and performance. Subsequently, the aim of this investigation was to determine the differences in average VO2 during treadmill running between CRT and INT of identical mean velocity. A second aim was to investigate how different duration and repetitions of INT affect the average VO2 and the time at which VO2 exceeds 90% of the individuals VO2peak. We hypothesize INT will have a greater mean VO2 than CRT and that 2 min INT will have a greater mean VO2 than 1 min INT.

Methods

Subjects

Fourteen moderately trained males and three females volunteered to participate in this study (values are expressed as mean ± standard deviation); age: 21.9 ± 3.9 years, body mass: 74 ± 11.3 kg, VO2peak: 57.4 ± 8.7 ml kg⁻¹ min⁻¹. All participants satisfied medical pre-screening criteria and gave written informed consent to participate in this experiment, which was approved by the University’s Human Ethics Committee.

Experimental overview

In order to establish whether INT compared to CRT differentially affects the VO2 during treadmill running, each participant completed three treatments, twice, in a balanced random order with at least 72 h separating treatment exposure. The three treatments were standardized for average speed over 20 min of running, and are described below:

Treatment 1: 1 min INT: 10 × 1 min efforts at the velocity corresponding to VO2peak (Vpeak) interspersed with 10 × 1 min efforts at 0.5Vpeak.

Treatment 2: 2 min INT: 5 × 2 min efforts at Vpeak interspersed with 5 × 2 min efforts at 0.5 at Vpeak.

Treatment 3: CRT: 20 min constant rate run on a treadmill at a velocity equivalent too, and determined by the mean velocity of treatment A and B (75% Vpeak).

Experimental procedure

Participants initially completed a maximal treadmill test to establish VO2peak and its corresponding velocity (Vpeak). Participants commenced running at 9 km h⁻¹ (at a 1% gradient) and treadmill speed was increased by 1 km h⁻¹ each minute until volitional exhaustion. Participants were fitted with a two-way breathing valve (Hans Rudolph, USA) and expired air was collected into an online metabolic system (Moxus, USA) calibrated in accordance with the manufacturers instructions. VO2peak was determined as the highest 60-s VO2 value recorded during the test and confirmed as a peak result if the respiratory exchange ratio (RER) exceeded 1.1.

Following the VO2peak test the participants were randomly assigned to one of the treatment conditions before commencing the experimental protocol. Twenty-four hours prior to each treatment, the participant was requested to eat approximately 8–10 g of carbohydrate per kg of body weight, sleep a minimum of 7 h, and drink adequate fluid to ensure a euhydrated state. Upon reporting to the laboratory each participant completed a 5 min treadmill warm-up run at 0.6Vpeak, and then rested for 3 min. Expired air was then collected for metabolic analysis and participants began running as specified by the experimental protocol. VO2 was recorded continuously in 30 s segments during each 20 min run to determine the average VO2. Time above 90% VO2peak was calculated by the addition of all 30 s VO2 segments recorded that exceeded 90% of the VO2peak of the participant.
Statistics

Differences between the three treatments in average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ and $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ expressed as a percentage of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ (average of two trials for each treatment) were analysed in a repeated measures analysis of variance (RM ANOVA), with Bonferroni-corrected post hoc tests used to determine differences between the three treatments. Negatively skewed $V_{\text{O}_2}$ data were transformed to normality using a scale-reversed logarithmic transformation. For percent of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$, significant negative skew occurred, but only in one group, so that a transformation to normality was not feasible; in this case the RM ANOVA The proportions of participants reaching 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ under the two treatments for which this occurred were compared using a McNemar paired samples chi-square test. All data were analysed using SPSS for Windows Version 12.0 (®SPSS). Significance was set at $p < 0.05$. Results are reported as mean ± standard deviation (S.D.).

Results

$\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ values are presented in Table 1. Both 2 min INT and 1 min INT resulted in significantly greater mean average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ than CRT; Mean average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ between 2 min INT and 1 min INT was not significantly different. A similar pattern was observed for mean average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2/ \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2\text{max}}$ (%), although in this case the difference between 2 min INT and 1 min INT was also significant. Furthermore, a significantly greater proportion of participants reached 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ in 2 min INT than in 1 min INT (88.2% versus 23.5%, $p < 0.001$). Times above 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ (mean ± S.D.) were 1.32 ± 3.94 min and 4.47 ± 3.55 min, respectively. No participant exceeded 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ in CRT.

Discussion

Anecdotally many endurance coaches and athletes believe that INT is more effective in improving performance than CRT, although there is little research to support this contention. Our findings suggest that INT may indeed be a superior mode of training to improve $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ as both INT strategies result in a significantly higher mean average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ than CRT and a significantly greater time spent above 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$. Improvements in $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ occur as a consequence of sufficient physiological disturbance to the factors that determine it, cardiac output and arterio-venous difference. In particular it appears that cardiac output is the major determinant of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ and therefore its improvement should be a major focus of endurance training.

In conclusion we report that that both interval strategies resulted in significantly higher $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ than CRT of equal mean velocity, and that 2 min INT is significantly more time above 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ and a significantly greater percentage of participants to exceed 90% of $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ than 1 min INT. Consequently, our data suggest that longer intervals may be more effective than shorter intervals of the same average intensity to enhance $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$. Evidence for this contention has been provided by recent research that revealed an 8 week training regime of 15 s of running at 90—95% of max heart rate with 15 s recovery, produced smaller percentage gains in $V_{\text{O}_2\text{peak}}$ than 4 min of running at 90—95% of max heart rate with 3 min recovery (5.5 and 7.2%, respectively).

Table 1: Mean average $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$ (ml min$^{-1}$) and $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2/ \dot{V}_{\text{O}_2\text{max}}$ (%) for the three treatments; asterisks denotes significantly different to 20 min CRT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>2 min INT</th>
<th>1 min INT</th>
<th>CRT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean average, $\dot{V}_\text{O}_2$</td>
<td>3200 ± 661&lt;sup&gt;**&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3076 ± 604&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2909 ± 584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\dot{V}_\text{O}<em>2/ \dot{V}</em>{\text{O}_2\text{max}}$ (%)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 5.5&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.7 ± 7.4&lt;sup&gt;***&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>71.6 ± 5.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>*</sup> $p < 0.05$.
<sup>**</sup> $p < 0.01$.
<sup>***</sup> $p < 0.001$.
<sup>♦</sup> Significantly different to 10 × 1 min INT ($p < 0.05$).
However, future research is needed to investigate the chronic effects of participating in these training strategies.
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