FedUni ResearchOnline

<https://researchonline.federation.edu.au>

Copyright Notice

This is the post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications. The final authenticated version is available online at:

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10957-018-1399-x>

Copyright © 2018, Springer Science+Business Media, LLC, part of Springer Nature.

VADU 2018 Open Problem Session

Bui Thi Hoa^{*}, Scott B. Lindstrom[†] and Vera Roshchina^{‡*}

June 5, 2018

Abstract

We state the problems discussed in the open problem session at Variational Analysis Down Under (VADU2018) conference held in honour of Prof. Asen Dontchev's 70th birthday on 19–21 February 2018 at Federation University Australia, <https://sites.rmit.edu.au/asen/>.

Contents

1	Existence of local calm selections	2
2	Are 6-polytopes 3-linked?	2
3	Is FFS3 polytope decomposable?	3
4	Projections onto compact convex sets	3
5	Convergence of the continuous time Douglas-Rachford algorithm	4
6	Minimal distance problem	5
7	Demyanov-Ryabova conjecture	6
8	Dürer's conjecture	6

^{*}CIAO, Federation University Australia

[†]School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Newcastle, Australia

[‡]School of Mathematics and Statistics, UNSW and School of Science, RMIT University, Australia

1 Existence of local calm selections

This problem was proposed by Asen Dontchev. All background material, including notation, history, etc. can be found in [13]. We are grateful to Asen for providing this description.

Theorem (Bartle-Graves (1952)). *Let X and Y be Banach spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a function which is strictly differentiable at $\bar{x}$ and such that the derivative $Df(\bar{x})$ is surjective. Then there exist a neighborhood V of $f(\bar{x})$ and a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that f^{-1} has a continuous selection s on V which is calm with constant γ ; that is,*

$$\|s(y) - \bar{x}\| \leq \gamma \|y - f(\bar{x})\| \text{ for every } y \in V.$$

When X and Y are finite dimensional, even Hilbert, the proof is easy. For Banach spaces, the proof is highly nontrivial. A generalization of the Bartle-Graves theorem to set-valued mappings was obtained in [12].

Here is the open problem:

Conjecture. *Consider a function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ which is Lipschitz continuous around $\bar{x}$ and suppose that all matrices A in Clarke's generalized Jacobian of f at $\bar{x}$ are surjective. Then f^{-1} has a continuous local selection around $\bar{y}$ for $\bar{x}$ which is calm at $\bar{y} = f(\bar{x})$.*

If $n = m$ the conjecture reduces to Clarke's inverse function theorem. For $m \leq n$, according to a theorem by Pourciau [19], under the same condition the function f is metrically regular. This last result was generalized recently to Banach spaces in [7].

2 Are 6-polytopes 3-linked?

This problem was presented by Bui Thi Hoa.

A graph G is k -linked if for any selection of k pairs of all distinct vertices $Y := \{(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k)\}$, ($k \geq 1$) there exist k disjoint paths, connecting the k pairs of points in Y . If the graph of a polytope is k -linked we say that the polytope is also k -linked.

Recall that a d -polytope is a d -dimensional polytope, i.e. the linear span of the polytope is a d -dimensional space. The initial question is whether or not every d -polytope is $\lfloor d/2 \rfloor$ -linked. And the negative answer was given by Gallivan (see [22]) with a construction of a d -polytope which is not $\lfloor 2(d+4)/5 \rfloor$ -linked. It had been already proven that 4-polytopes and 5-polytopes are 2-linked (see [6], [8]), meanwhile not all 8-polytopes are 4-linked. The remaining question is that if all the 6-polytopes are 3-linked.

3 Is FFS3 polytope decomposable?

This problem was suggested by David Yost, and communicated during the open problem section by Scott Lindstrom and Vera Roshchina.

A polytope is called decomposable [20] if it can be represented as Minkowski sum of dis-similar convex bodies. Two polytopes are similar if one can be obtained from the other by a dilation and a translation.

David Yost in collaboration with Debra Briggs have classified all but one 3-polytopes with up to 16 edges in terms of decomposability (manuscript in preparation). The only remaining case is the (combinatorial) polytope FFS3 with its graph shown in Fig. 1. It is conjectured that this polytope has no

Figure 1: Graph of the polytope FFS3

decomposable geometric realisation.

All polytopes with up to 15 edges are classified in terms of their decomposability [5], and the resolution of the decomposability question for FFS3 polytope will settle the 16-edge case. However further case-by-case decomposability classification of polyhedra with higher number of edges presents a tedious challenge, and a more interesting question is developing an algorithm to check decomposability. We note that in an overwhelming number of cases indecomposability can be checked using combinatorial conditions from [20].

4 Projections onto compact convex sets

This problem was proposed by Andrew Eberhard.

Let C_1 and C_2 be compact convex sets in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. The conjecture states that there always exists a point $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that for each of its projections p_i onto C_i , $i \in \{1, 2\}$ the relevant normals $x - p_1$ and $x - p_2$ define the hyperplanes that strongly expose the faces $\{p_1\}$ and $\{p_2\}$ of C_1 and C_2 respectively.

Recall (see [15, Definition 8.27]) that a point $x \in C$ is strongly exposed by a linear functional f if $f(x) = \sup_{x' \in C} f(x')$ and $x_k \rightarrow x$ for all sequences $\{x_k\} \subset C$ such that $\lim f(x_k) = \sup_{x \in C} f(x)$.

5 Convergence of the continuous time Douglas-Rachford algorithm

This problem was proposed by Scott Lindstrom.

For the feasibility problem of finding a point in the nonempty intersection $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ of proximal sets A and B , the Douglas-Rachford method for a given starting point x_0 generates a sequence

$$x_n \in Tx_{n-1} := (\lambda(2P_B - \text{Id})(2P_A - \text{Id}) + (1 - \lambda)\text{Id})x_{n-1}$$

where P_A, P_B denote the usual projection operators for A, B respectively and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ is usually taken to be $1/2$. When A, B are also convex, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to a fixed point of the method (see [18] and [1]).

Figure 2: The flowfield (1) with a circle/line (left) and ellipse/line (right). Images courtesy of Veit Elser.

For the nonconvex case where A is a circle and B a line, Borwein and Sims [4] considered the “continuous time” version of the algorithm—whose flow field is shown at left in Figure 5 and corresponds to the solution of the differential equation given by

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = T(x) \quad \text{when } \lambda \rightarrow 0^+ \quad (1)$$

Figure 3: Behaviour of Douglas-Rachford method with an ellipse and line varies from the case of a circle and line.

—as a means to approaching the question of convergence in the usual case of $\lambda = 1/2$ given subtransversality, a case Benoist [2] answered in the affirmative by means of a Lyapunov function and which has since been extended by Minh N. Dao and Matthew K. Tam [10].

The generalization to a subtransversal ellipse and line and also to a p-sphere and a line was considered by Borwein et al.[3], who showed that local convergence remains while global behaviour becomes far more complicated. See, for example, Figure 3. Veit Elser has suggested analysing the continuous time version of the method in these more general settings and has generously furnished the images in Figure 5.

6 Minimal distance problem

This problem was proposed by Alex Kruger.

Given a finite set of points $a_1, \dots, a_m \in X$, where X is an Euclidean space, find the solution to the problem

$$\min_{x \in X} \max_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \|a_i - x\|. \quad (2)$$

The problem has a unique solution for which x is the centre of the minimal Euclidean sphere that contains all points. However it is unclear whether there exists a neat way to write this explicitly.

This is a particular case of a more general problem. The space X can be an arbitrary normed linear or even a metric space. In the latter case, the norm of the difference in (2) should be replaced by the distance. Instead of the maximum in (2), it could be an arbitrary norm in $\mathbb{R}^m$.

7 Demyanov-Ryabova conjecture

This problem was communicated by Vera Roshchina.

The problem was originally stated in [11, Conjecture 1]. Recently two different special cases were confirmed in [9, 23]. During the preparation of this file a counterexample was found [21].

Given a finite family Ω of convex polytopes in $\mathbb{R}^n$, for each unit vector $g \in S_{n-1}$ we construct a new polytope as the convex hull of all support faces of all polytopes in the family Ω , i.e. we define the function

$$C(g) := \text{conv} \{ \text{Arg max}_{x \in P} \langle x, g \rangle \mid P \in \Omega \}.$$

Collecting all such polytopes, we obtain a new finite family of polytopes,

$$F(\Omega) = \{C(g) \mid g \in S_{n-1}\}.$$

Now starting from a given finite collection of polytopes Ω_0 we apply this transformation infinitely obtaining a sequence $\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$, where $\Omega_i = F(\Omega_{i-1})$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

The original Demyanov-Ryabova conjecture claimed that this sequence eventually reaches a two-cycle, i.e. for a sufficiently large N we have $\Omega_{N+2} = \Omega_N$. Since we now know that the conjecture is false, the question is to find a characterisation of such collections of polytopes that yield two-cycles, extending and generalising the results of [9, 23].

8 Dürer's conjecture

This problem was communicated by Vera Roshchina.

Albrecht Dürer dedicated a nontrivial part of his career to laying out the geometric foundations of drawing and perspective. His five centuries old work [14] is available online via Google books. The mathematical statement known as Dürer's conjecture was motivated by this work and proposed in 1975 by Shephard [24]. A net (or unfolding) of a 3-polytope is the process of cutting it along its edges, so that the resulting connected shape can be flattened (developed) into the plane [17]. It is not difficult to find examples of polytopes for which certain cuts result in overlapping unfoldings, such as the truncated tetrahedron shown in Fig. 4 (see [16]).

The Dürer's conjecture is a claim that any polytope has a nonoverlapping net. A significant recent contribution in this direction is the work by Mohammed Ghomi who showed that every polytope is combinatorially equivalent to an unfoldable one [16]. For more details we refer the reader to an overview [17] by the same author.

Figure 4: Two different nets of the same truncated tetrahedron

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Asen Dontchev, Andrew Eberhard, Alex Kruger and David Yost for patiently clarifying the mathematical details of their open problems to us.

References

- [1] H.H. Bauschke, P.L. Combettes, and R.D. Luke. Phase retrieval, error reduction algorithm, and fienu variants: a view from convex optimization. *Journal of the Optical Society of America A*, 19:1334–1345, 2002.
- [2] Joel Benoist. The douglas-rachford algorithm for the case of the sphere and line. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 63:363–380, 2015.
- [3] Jonathan M. Borwein, Scott B. Lindstrom, Brailey Sims, Matthew Skerritt, and Anna Schneider. Dynamics of the douglas-rachford method for ellipses and p-spheres. *Set Valued and Variational Analysis*.
- [4] Jonathan M. Borwein and Brailey Sims. The douglas-rachford algorithm in the absence of convexity. In Heinz H. Bauschke, Regina S. Burachik, Patrick L. Combettes, Veit Elser, D. Russell Luke, and Henry Wolkowicz, editors, *Fixed Point Algorithms for Inverse Problems in Science and Engineering*, volume 49. Springer Optimization and Its Applications, 2011.
- [5] Debra Briggs. Comprehensive catalogue of polyhedra. *AMSI Report*, 2016.
- [6] Thomassen C. 2-linked graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 1:371–378, 1980.

- [7] Radek Cibulka, Asen L. Dontchev, and Vladimir M. Veliov. Lyusternik-graves theorems for the sum of a lipschitz function and a set-valued mapping. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 54(6):3273–3296, 2016.
- [8] Seymour P. D. Disjoint paths in graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 29:293–309, 1980.
- [9] Aris Daniilidis and Colin Petitjean. A partial answer to the demyanov-ryabova conjecture. *Set-Valued and Variational Analysis*, Jul 2017.
- [10] Minh N. Dao and Matthew .K. Tam. A lyapunov-type approach to convergence of the douglas-rachford algorithm. 2017.
- [11] Vladimir F. Demyanov and Julia A. Ryabova. Exhausters, coexhausters and converters in nonsmooth analysis. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 31(4):1273–1292, 2011.
- [12] Asen L. Dontchev. A local selection theorem for metrically regular mappings. *J. Convex Anal.*, 11(1):81–94, 2004.
- [13] Asen L. Dontchev and Terry R. Rockafellar. *Implicit Functions and Solution mappings. A View From Variational Analysis*. Springer, 2014.
- [14] Albrecht Dürer. *Underweysung der Messung, mit dem Zirckel und Richtscheyt, in Linien, Ebenen unnd gantzen corporen*. Nuremberg, 1525.
- [15] Marián Fabian, Petr Habala, Petr Hájek, Vicente Montesinos Santalucía, Jan Pelant, and Václav Zizler. *Functional analysis and infinite-dimensional geometry*, volume 8 of *CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [16] Mohammad Ghomi. Affine unfoldings of convex polyhedra. *Geom. Topol.*, 18(5):3055–3090, 2014.
- [17] Mohammad Ghomi. Dürer’s unfolding problem for convex polyhedra. *Notices Amer. Math. Soc.*, 65(1):25–27, 2018.
- [18] P.L. Lions and B. Mercier. Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, 16:964–979, 1979.
- [19] Brouce H. Pourciau. Analysis and optimization of lipschitz continuous mappings. *J. Opt. Theory Appl.*, 22:311–351, 1977.

- [20] Krzysztof Przesławski and David Yost. More indecomposable polyhedra. *Extracta Math.*, 31(2):169–188, 2016.
- [21] Vera Roshchina. Demyanov-ryabova conjecture is false, 2018.
- [22] Gallivan S. Disjoint edge paths between given vertices of a convex polytope. *J. Combin.Theory Ser. A*, 39:112–115, 1985.
- [23] Tian Sang. On the conjecture by Demyanov-Ryabova in converting finite exhausters. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 174(3):712–727, 2017.
- [24] G. C. Shephard. Convex polytopes with convex nets. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 78(3):389–403, 1975.