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Abstract Solid phase Fe and S fractions were examined in an acid sulfate soil (ASS) wetland undergoing remediation via tidal inundation. Considerable diagenetic enrichment of reactive Fe(III) oxides (HCl- and dilithium-extractable) occurred near the soil surface (0–0.15 m depth), where extremely large concentrations up to 3534 μmol/g accounted for ~90% of the total Fe pool. This major source of reactive Fe exerts a substantial influence on S cycling and the formation, speciation and transformation of reduced inorganic S (RIS) in tidally inundated ASS. Under these geochemical conditions, acid volatile sulfide (AVS; up to 57 μmol/g) and elemental sulfur (S⁰; up to 41 μmol/g) were the dominant fractions of RIS in near surface soils. AVS to pyrite S ratios exceeded 7.9 near the surface, indicating that abundant reactive Fe favoured the accumulation of AVS minerals and S⁰ over pyrite. This is supported by the significant correlation of poorly crystalline Fe with AVS–S and S⁰–S contents (r = 0.83 and r = 0.85, respectively, P < 0.01). XANES spectroscopy provided direct evidence for the presence of a greigite-like phase in AVS–S measured by chemical extraction. While the abundant reactive Fe may limit the transformation of AVS minerals and S⁰ to pyrite during early diagenesis (~5 years), continued sulfidisation over longer time scales is likely to eventually lead to enhanced sequestration of S within pyrite (with a predicted 8% pyrite by mass). These findings provide an important understanding of sulfidisation processes occurring in reactive Fe-enriched, tidally inundated ASS landscapes.
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Abbreviations
ASS Acid sulfate soil
AVS Acid volatile sulfide
CRS Chromium-reducible sulfur
DOP Degree of pyritisation
DOS Degree of sulfidisation
RIS Reduced inorganic sulfur
XANES X-ray absorption near-edge structure

Introduction

Cycling of Fe and S is a key process in the biogeochemistry of intertidal estuarine sediments (e.g., Giblin and Howarth 1984; Canfield 1989;
Luther et al. 1991; Kostka and Luther 1995; Morse and Rickard 2004). In these sedimentary environments, bioaethenogenic pyrite (FeS₂) is an important iron sulfide mineral component and a major sink for reduced S. Its formation via the process of sulfidisation has been studied extensively (e.g., Berner 1970; Lord and Church 1983; Howarth and Jorgensen 1984; Boesen and Postma 1988). Drainage of sulfide rich, estuarine materials is known to cause rapid oxidation of pyrite, leading to severe acidification and the development of acid sulfate soils (ASS) (van Breemen 1973; Dent 1986).

The mobilisation of acidic solutes and trace metals from drained ASS landscapes can degrade the water quality of adjacent aquatic habitats and is a widely recognised, internationally significant environmental problem (e.g., Sammut et al. 1996; Portnoy and Giblin 1997; Sundström et al. 2002; Macdonald et al. 2004; Lax 2005; Filtmarsch et al. 2008). An innovative approach to remediating ASS landscapes is to restore tidal inundation (Powell and Martens 2005; Johnston et al. 2009a). Tidal inundation radically alters the geochemical conditions of soils and sediments, promoting bacterially-mediated reduction of Fe(III) and SO₄²⁻. Such reductive transformations consume acidity, generate alkalinity and encourage the reformation of iron sulfide minerals such as pyrite (Hicks et al. 2003; Johnston et al. 2009b).

In drained ASS, some of the Fe released from pyrite oxidation typically forms Fe(III) minerals, including goethite (α-FeOOH), jarosite (KFe₃(SO₄)₂(OH)₆) and schwertmannite (Fe₁₃O₁₇(OH)₃·3SO₄) (e.g., Sullivan and Bush 2004). Re-establishing tidal inundation in ASS can cause reductive dissolution of these minerals within the soil profile and lead to substantial enrichment of the reactive Fe fraction near the soil surface (Johnston et al. 2009b). Reactive Fe is a key component in the formation of iron sulfide minerals, and is defined as that Fe which readily reacts with dissolved sulfides (Berner 1970; Canfield 1989; Canfield et al. 1992). For example, the oxidation of H₂S by Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxides such as goethite (Rickard 1974; Pyzik and Sommer 1981) can be described by the reaction:

\[ 2\alpha\text{-FeOOH} + 3\text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow 2\text{FeS} + \text{S}^0 + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1) \]

The abundance of solid phase Fe and its reactivity toward sulfides is a major factor influencing the formation of reduced inorganic S (RIS) species, and hence the degree of pyritisation (DOP), in coastal marine and estuarine sediments.

In addition to pyrite, other RIS species formed in sulfide-rich sedimentary environments include elemental S (S⁰) and acid volatile sulfides (AVS). AVS comprises porewater sulfides (including dissolved Fe(II)–sulfide complexes and aqueous FeS clusters) and a suite of metastable iron sulfides including the minerals mackinawite (FeS) and greigite (Fe₃S₄) (Cornwell and Morse 1987; Morse and Rickard 2004; Rickard and Morse 2005). AVS and S⁰ are generally a minor fraction of RIS in coastal marine and estuarine sediments (Giblin and Wieder 1992; Morse and Rickard 2004), but are found at appreciable proportions of RIS in organic, sulfide-rich materials from ASS landscapes (Bush et al. 2004; Macdonald et al. 2004; Burton et al. 2006b; Bonham et al. 2008, 2010).

The concentration and distribution of RIS in marine and estuarine sediments is primarily controlled by the availability of reactive Fe. Abundant reactive Fe can sequester most of the sulfides (e.g., H₂S) in iron sulfides and constrain the concentration of dissolved sulfide in porewaters (Canfield 1989; Canfield et al. 1992). This promotes the formation and accumulation of FeS, as a lack of dissolved sulfide to react with FeS retards its subsequent transformation to pyrite (Rickard and Morse 2005). Fe(III) reduction is energetically favoured over SO₄²⁻ reduction (Lovley and Phillips 1987; Postma and Jacobsen 1996; Burton et al. 2007, 2008a), and this can lead to the formation of FeS and S⁰ via rapid oxidation of H₂S by reactive Fe(III) minerals (see Eq. 1, Yao and Millero 1996; Pouton 2003). Although mackinawite and greigite are not direct precursors to pyrite (Rickard and Morse 2005), these metastable AVS minerals provide a solid phase source of dissolved sulfide for pyrite formation in marine and estuarine sediments. Pyrite can form with the sequestration of reduced S species in partially oxic to anoxic environments via the polysulfide pathway (Rickard 1975), according to the overall defining equation (Berner 1970):

\[ \text{FeS} + \text{S}^0 \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2 \quad (2) \]

Alternatively, FeS can be transformed to pyrite through the H₂S mechanism favoured under strictly
anoxic conditions (Rickard 1997), according to the overall reaction:

\[ \text{FeS} + \text{H}_2\text{S} \rightarrow \text{FeS}_2 + \text{H}_2 \]  

(3)

Whilst numerous studies have examined the coupled cycling of solid phase Fe and S in coastal marine and estuarine sediments (e.g., Canfield et al. 1992; Holmer et al. 1994; Kostka and Luther 1995), this study provides the first examination of sulphidisation in tidally inundated ASS wetlands. The exceptional enrichment of reactive Fe in this sedimentary environment provides an ideal opportunity to investigate the composition and transformation of RIS species in the field where Fe is not limiting.

In this study, we quantify the in situ partitioning of solid phase Fe and S following tidal inundation of a previously drained ASS wetland. Our objective is to examine the key geochemical role of enriched reactive Fe for RIS speciation. In addition, we consider the short and long term implications of these transformations for remediation of coastal ASS landscapes by tidal inundation.

Materials and methods

Study area

The study area was located in a Holocene sedimentary coastal plain at East Trinity near Cairns in northeastern Australia (145°48’ E, 16°56’ S; Fig. 1a). The East Trinity field site covers an area of 940 ha on the eastern side of Trinity Inlet and is dissected by Hills Creek which drains the granitic ranges to the west. It experiences a tropical monsoonal climate with summer-dominant rainfall (mean annual rainfall of 1650 mm at Cairns Aero, Station No. 31011, Bureau of Meteorology 2010) and a maximum tidal range in Trinity Inlet of around 3.2 m. Sediments are dominated by estuarine muds underlain by transgressive mangrove muds and marine muds deposited during Holocene estuarine plain evolution (Smith et al. 2003).

Prior to extensive drainage and clearing of vegetation for agriculture, the study site contained large areas of tidal estuarine wetlands, including mangrove and saltmarsh communities. Drainage was facilitated by construction in the early 1970s of a 5 km sea wall incorporating tide excluding floodgates on the major creeks. Estuarine wetlands and creeks inside the sea wall were isolated from tidal inundation, causing oxidation of pyrite-rich (~2% g/g) estuarine sediments and subsequent development of severely acidic soils (Hicks et al. 1999). The Queensland Government has made a multi-million dollar investment to enable rehabilitation of the East Trinity site. Various parts of the site have undergone remediation from 2001, which consisted of the reintroduction of regular tidal inundation to the previously drained ASS (Powell and Martens 2005; Johnston et al. 2009a). The remediation strategy for East Trinity is summarised in Powell and Martens (2005), and the resulting pedogenic changes to degraded ASS of the Firewood Creek sub-catchment are described in Johnston et al. (2009b).

For this study, two physiographic settings were located within Firewood Creek sub-catchment (Fig. 1). Sampling sites were selected to represent an intertidal ASS mudflat, with one vegetated (M) and one unvegetated (MF). Both ASS settings were located approximately 1.1 km upstream from the tidal floodgates and have experienced regular but attenuated tidal inundation for the preceding 5 years (i.e., since 2003). M was situated within a narrow zone of young (<5 years), recolonising Avicennia mangroves. MF consisted of an extensive bare mudflat adjoining the sea wall. An additional physiographic setting was located immediately outside the East Trinity remediation site along Trinity Inlet (Fig. 1). This reference site was selected to represent a natural, undrained mangrove wetland (T) and based on proximity to the other sampling sites. T experienced a natural tidal range representative of undrained conditions, and consisted of an intertidal Rhizophora-dominated mangrove forest. 360 m from the seaward fringe of mangroves and unaffected by previous drainage of ASS at the Firewood Creek site.

Soil and sediment collection and handling

Three duplicate core profiles were collected within each physiographic setting in March 2008 using a Russian D-section corer (internal diameter 0.075 m, length 0.75 m). Soils and sediments were rapidly sectioned into specified depth intervals (from 0 to 0.05, 0.05 to 0.15 m depth, and thereafter in 0.10 m increments) below ground level (bgl) for analysis of the solid fraction. Sampling at T was confined to near surface materials (to 0.15 m depth) and
undertaken for comparative purposes. Data points shown here represent the average of each depth interval. Field measurements of pH (pH$_{b}$) and Eh (Eh$_{b}$) were made immediately on each undisturbed core by direct probe insertion using calibrated Intermediate Junction Ag/AgCl combination pH and ORP electrodes with a saturated KCl reference solution. Eh measurements were corrected to the Standard Hydrogen Electrode (SHE). Duplicate samples from the equivalent depth intervals were bulked and placed into air-tight, sealable polyethylene bags, completely filled with material (i.e., no enclosed air). All soil and sediment samples were stored frozen until analysis to minimise possible oxidation of reduced species.

Solid phase chemical analyses

In the laboratory, frozen samples were thawed under N$_{2}$ and homogenised with a spatula for analysis of the solid fraction. Gravimetric moisture content ($θ_{s}$) was determined by oven-drying sub-samples at 105$^\circ$C until a constant mass (Rayment and Higginson 1992). General characterisation of soils and sediments was undertaken on oven-dry materials. Operationally defined solid phase reactive Fe and RIS fractions were extracted sequentially from moist soils and sediments. All sequential extractions were undertaken in triplicate on 1–2 g sub-samples (error bars refer to triplicate extractions from each depth interval). The sequential chemical extraction methods used in this study and the operationally defined reactive Fe and RIS fractions differentiated by each extraction are provided in Table 1.

All laboratory equipment used during collection and analysis of soils and sediments was previously immersed in 30% HCl for at least 24 h and then rinsed repeatedly with Milli-Q water. Reagents were analytical grade and all reagent solutions were prepared with Milli-Q water. Deoxygenated solutions

---

Table 1  Sequential chemical extractions used to differentiate the operationally defined Fe or S fractions of soils and sediments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extractant</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Volume (ml)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Fe or S mineral</th>
<th>Fraction defined</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reactive Fe speciation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>1.0 M</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Ferrhydrite, schwertmannite, lepidocrocite, AVS</td>
<td>Poorly crystalline Fe (minus AVS–Fe)</td>
<td>Burton et al. (2006a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dithionite</td>
<td>50 g/l</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Goethite, hematite, magnetite</td>
<td>Crystalline Fe</td>
<td>Kostka and Luther (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RIS speciation</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl</td>
<td>6.0 M</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Mackinawite, greigite</td>
<td>AVS–S</td>
<td>Hsieh et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Elemental S</td>
<td>S0–S</td>
<td>Burton et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acidic Cr(II)</td>
<td>500 g/l</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pyrite</td>
<td>FeS2–S</td>
<td>Burton et al. (2008a, b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pyrite and AVS measured as S and converted to Fe equivalents using a 2:1 and 1:1 S to Fe ratio, respectively.

were prepared by purging with N2 for at least 0.5 h. All solid phase results are presented on a dry mass basis (except where otherwise noted).

Reactive Fe speciation

To determine HCl-extractable Fe, 30 ml of 1 M HCl was added to the moist sediment and mixed on an orbital shaker at 125 rpm for 1 h then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, based on the cold extraction procedures detailed in Huerta-Díaz and Morse (1990), Leventhal and Taylor (1990), Wallmann et al. (1993), Kostka and Luther (1994), Raiswell et al. (1994) and Burton et al. (2006a). HCl-extractable Fe(II) and total Fe concentrations were determined by the 1,10-phenanthroline method using spectrophotometry, with the addition of hydroxylammonium chloride for total Fe (APHA-AWWA-WEF 2005). HCl-extractable Fe(III) was calculated by the difference between HCl-extractable total Fe and HCl-extractable Fe(II).

To determine dithionite-extractable Fe, 40 ml of 0.35 M glacial acetic acid/0.2 M sodium citrate and 2 g sodium dithionite were added to the remaining sediment and mixed on an orbital shaker at 125 rpm for 4 h then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, based on the procedure detailed in Kostka and Luther (1994) and Raiswell et al. (1994). Dithionite-extractable Fe was determined by the 1,10-phenanthroline method using spectrophotometry (APHA-AWWA-WEF 2005).

The cold, dilute HCl and dithionite extractions are selective for reactive, poorly crystalline Fe (oxyhydr)oxides and readily reducible, crystalline Fe(III) oxides, respectively (Canfield 1989; Canfield et al. 1992; Wallmann et al. 1993; Kostka and Luther 1994; Raiswell et al. 1994). HCl extractions effectively leach poorly crystalline Fe (oxyhydr) oxide fractions including ferrhydrite and lepidocrocite, as well as iron monosulphides (Wallmann et al. 1993; Kostka and Luther 1994; Raiswell et al. 1994). Dithionite extractions effectively leach Fe from more crystalline Fe(III) minerals including goethite, hematite and magnetite, but not from clay minerals (Canfield et al. 1992; Kostka and Luther 1994; Raiswell et al. 1994). Hence, the poorly crystalline Fe fraction is operationally defined as the HCl-extractable total Fe minus the Fe associated with AVS (i.e., iron monosulphides), and the crystalline Fe fraction is operationally defined as that soluble in dithionite (Table 1). Together, these fractions provide an estimate of the reactive (non-sulfidic) Fe pool present in the soils and sediments. AVS–Fe and pyrite–Fe accounted for the Fe associated with AVS and pyrite, and were estimated from the concentration of AVS–S and pyrite–S, assuming a ratio of S to Fe of 1:1 and 2:1, respectively. Unreactive Fe (or residual Fe) is Fe.
sheet silicates found by the difference between the total Fe content and the sum of other defined Fe fractions.

**RIS speciation**

To determine AVS–S, 10 ml of 6 M HCl/0.1 M C6H5O6 was added to the moist sediment and mixed on an orbital shaker at 125 rpm for 18 h (Table 1). The evolved H2S was trapped in 5 ml 3% Zn(CH3COO)2·2H2O in 2 M NaOH, based on the cold diffusion procedure detailed in Hsieh et al. (2002) using a modified apparatus described by Burton et al. (2007). This method uses ascorbic acid to prevent interferences from Fe(III) and extraction of pyrite–S (Burton et al. 2009). The suspension was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min, the sediment retained and the aqueous supernatant discarded. AVS–S concentration was determined in Zn acetate traps by iodometric titration (APHA-AWWA-WEF 2005). AVS–S provided an estimate of the reactive sulfide pool (Morse and Rickard 2004; Rickard and Morse 2005).

To determine S0–S, 10 ml of toluene and 10 ml of Milli-Q water were added to the remaining sediment and mixed on an orbital shaker at 125 rpm for 16 h then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min (Table 1), based on the procedure detailed in Burton et al. (2009). S0–S concentration was determined by the cold cyanolysis method using spectrophotometry (Bartlett and Skoog 1954). The remaining sediment was washed three times with 25 ml acetone and once with 20 ml ethanol to remove residual S0 prior to the subsequent extraction step.

To determine chromium-reducible S (CRS), 12 ml of acidic Cr(II) solution was added to the sediment and mixed on an orbital shaker at 150 rpm for 48 h with periodic sonification using an ultrasonic bath (Table 1). The evolved H2S was trapped in 5 ml 3% Zn(CH3COO)2·2H2O in 2 M NaOH, based on the diffusion procedure detailed in Burton et al. (2008b). The CRS extraction is a widely used proxy for pyrite (e.g., Canfield et al. 1986; Boman et al. 2010). Pyrite–S concentration was similarly determined in Zn acetate traps by iodometric titration (APHA-AWWA-WEF 2005).

**General analytical procedures**

A portion of soil and sediment samples were dried for general chemical analysis in a fan-forced, air-extracting oven at 85°C for at least 24 h and ground using a mortar and pestle to pass a 2 mm sieve. Aqueous extractions were undertaken in duplicate on 2 g oven-dry soils and sediments using a 1:5 soil to water ratio (Rayment and Higginson 1992). The aqueous extract was passed through a 0.45 μm syringe-driven filter and water-soluble alkali cations (Na+, K+, Ca2+ and Mg2+) were determined by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) using a Perkin-Elmer DV4300 instrument. Water-soluble SO42− was determined turbidimetrically using spectrophotometry, and water soluble Cl− by flow injection analysis (FIA) using a Lachat QuikChem 8000 instrument (APHA-AWWA-WEF 2005). Total C was determined gravimetrically on oven-dry materials using a LECO CNS-2000 Carbon, Nitrogen and Sulfur Analyzer. Near-total Fe and S were determined on oven-dry materials by hot acidic digestion (1:1 HNO3:HCl, 95°C) for 1 h using a 1:100 soil to solution ratio and measured by Inductively Coupled Plasma-Mass Spectrometry (ICP-MS) using a Perkin-Elmer ELAN-DRCE instrument.

**X-ray absorption near-edge structure spectroscopy**

RIS speciation in three selected samples (M: 0–0.05 m depth; MF: 0–0.05 m and 0.35–0.45 m depth) was also determined by S K-edge XANES spectroscopy. It is well established that the AVS–S extraction method recovers AVS minerals mackinawite and greigite as well as dissolved sulfides and FeS clusters and nanoparticles (Hsieh et al. 2002; Morse and Rickard 2004; Rickard and Morse 2005). The XANES data were collected on the bending magnet beam-line 16A at the National Synchrotron Radiation Research Centre (NSRRC) in Hsinchu, Taiwan. Mineral standards and soil samples for XANES were transported from Australia to Taiwan frozen under N2 and stored in this way until analysis. Samples were mounted into 6 μm S-free Mylar film pouches (to minimize atmospheric exposure) prior to XANES analysis under an inert (He) atmosphere at ambient temperature. The X-ray energy resolution was maintained by a fully tuned Si(111) double
crystal monochromator, with the energy calibrated to the maximum of the first feature of Na$_2$S$_2$O$_5$·5H$_2$O at 2472.02 eV. X-ray fluorescence data were collected using a Lytle detector at ambient temperature under a He atmosphere.

Prior to XANES spectral analysis, the pre-edge background was subtracted and the edge jump was normalized to unity with the PySpline software package (Tenderholt et al. 2006). Qualitative S speciation was determined by comparison of the XANES peak energies for a given soil sample spectrum with that of selected S reference standards, including pyrite, elemental S, mackinawite, greigite and aqueous SO$_4^{2-}$. The S standards were prepared as described in Burton et al. (2009).

Statistical analysis

Bivariate correlations were undertaken to determine the linear association between two quantitative variables. Frequency histograms and distribution statistics were used to determine departures from normality. Due to non-normal distribution, Spearman’s rho correlation coefficients were used to examine the significance level of the linear relationship. All statistical analyses were conducted with SPSS Statistics 17.0.

Results and discussion

General sediment characteristics

At the Firewood Creek site, the former ASS subjected to reintroduction of tidal inundation (M and MF) were classified as Typic Sulfaquents according to Soil Taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff 2006). Sediment pH was circumneutral with a pH$_E$ range from 6.24 to 7.38, and measured Eh$_E$ values were indicative of moderately reducing conditions, with a redox potential from $-65$ to $+159$ mV at M and MF (Table 2). Values are consistent with those reported by Johnston et al. (2009b). Surface mangrove sediments at the reference site (TI) were generally more oxidised, with a mean pH$_E$ of 6.3 and redox potential of $+163$ mV (Table 2). This may be explained by factors such as bioturbation, the presence of roots, or tidal flushing, which are known to promote oxic conditions in intertidal mangrove environments (e.g., Nickerson and Thibodeau 1985; Holmer et al. 1994). The organic-rich mangrove sediments in this vicinity were generally classified as Typic Sulfaquents or Typic Sulfisaprists (Soil Survey Staff 2006) by Hicks et al. (1999).

Molar ratios of water-soluble Cl:SO$_4$ reflected the modern supply of marine salts in the 3 physiographic settings (Table 7). In TI mangrove sediments, high seawater supply and tidal flushing promoted greater sulfate reduction than in the tidally inundated ASS (M and MF), indicated by excess Cl$^-$ and higher Cl:SO$_4$ ratios. A ratio of $-20$ is characteristic of seawater (e.g., Holmer et al. 1994; Portnoy and Giblin 1997).

The profile distributions of total C, Fe and S concentrations (mean ± 1 SD of three profiles) in tidally inundated ASS are shown in Fig. 2. Large amounts of total C were evident in surface soils (0-0.05 m depth) at M (4807 ± 546 μmol/g), which decreased to a minimum of 1268 ± 89 μmol/g in the former sulfuriic horizon. Similarly, M contained greater total Fe (3257 ± 640 μmol/g) and S (241 ± 24 μmol/g) in the surface soils than those at MF (Fig. 2).

In the TI mangrove sediments, total C and S contents were either equivalent (0-0.03 m depth) or considerably larger (0.05-0.15 m depth) than those for tidally inundated ASS. However, total Fe contents were substantially smaller in TI surface sediments (with a difference of 2889 μmol/g), and similar to the total Fe pool in M and MF soils at depth (Fig. 2). These values are typical of estuarine sediments in an intertidal mangrove wetland (Burton et al. 2006a; Otero et al. 2009).

Solid phase Fe fractionation

Solid phase reactive Fe fractions of soil profiles (mean ± 1 SD of three profiles analysed in triplicate) for tidally inundated ASS are shown in Fig. 3. Of these fractions, HCl-extractable Fe(II) was most abundant in the surface sediments (0-0.05 m depth), with concentrations of 1243 ± 289 μmol/g at M and 742 ± 402 μmol/g at MF. These values were considerably greater than HCl-extractable Fe(III) at the same depth interval, with maximum concentrations of 583 ± 124 μmol/g at M and 513 ± 414 μmol/g at MF. Maximum dithionite-extractable Fe concentrations of 908 ± 383 μmol/g at M and 468 ±
Table 2 Selected properties of surface soils and sediments (0–0.05 m depth) from the three physiographic settings examined in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>pH_{f}</th>
<th>Eh_{f} (mV; SHE)</th>
<th>θ (g/g)</th>
<th>Σ soluble cations (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>Soluble Cl\textsuperscript{−} (mmol/kg)</th>
<th>Molar Cl\textsuperscript{−}/SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2−}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>7.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>−16</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MF</td>
<td>7.17</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TI</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent the mean ± 1 SD of three profiles.

M vegetated ASS mudflat, MF unvegetated ASS mudflat, TI Trinity Inlet mangrove wetland

Fig. 2 Profile distributions of selected geochemical characteristics in soils and sediments from M, MF, and TI sampling sites. Data points represent the mean ± 1 SD of three profiles.

178 μmol/g at MF also occurred in the surface sediments (0–0.05 m depth). For all tidally inundated ASS profile distributions, there was a sharp decrease in reactive Fe fractions with depth (Fig. 3).

From a broader perspective, concentrations of HCl-extractable total Fe (which includes Fe(II) and Fe(III) fractions) in tidally inundated ASS at M and MF (0–0.05 m depth) were almost an order of magnitude greater than those surface sediment (0–0.04 m depth) reported for other coastal marinas and intertidal estuarine environments (Fig. 4). In contrast, background levels of HCl-extractable total Fe in the undrained, mangrove sediments at TI were comparable to values reported in previous studies o

Fig. 3 Abundance of reactive Fe fractions (μmol/g) in tidally inundated ASS profiles from vegetated (M) and unvegetated (MF) mudflat sampling sites. Data points represent the mean of triplicate analyses.

mangrove and salmarsh sediments (Fig. 4; Holmer et al. 1994; Otero and Maicas 2002). Moreover, dithionite-extractable Fe concentrations in surface sediments were greater at M and MF than those at TI (123 ± 30 μmol/g, Table 3).

Total Fe content in tidally inundated ASS spanned a wide range of 224–3967 μmol/g (Fig. 2; Table 3). Importantly, reactive Fe (HCl- plus dithionite-extractable fractions minus AVS–Fe) comprised almost 90% of the total Fe at M and MF in the upper 0.35 m, and decreased to 50–80% of total Fe below this depth (Table 3). These smaller reactive Fe contents at depth were similar to those concentrations found in the TI mangrove sediments (Table 3), indicating that the enrichment of reactive Fe is largely confined to surface sediments. This is consistent with observations at the Firewood Creek site where superficial accumulations of Fe(III) minerals were poorly crystalline and comprised mainly of schwertmannite with minor quantities of goethite and lepidocrocite, directly observed by X-ray powder diffractometry (XRPD) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (Johnston et al. 2010a).

AVS–Fe represented only a small proportion (generally <5%) of total Fe in tidally inundated ASS (up to 57 μmol/g at M; 38 μmol/g at MF, Table 3). Pyrite–Fe was also present at relatively small concentrations (7–30 μmol/g at M; 9–28 μmol/g at MF, Table 3) and consequently comprised a small proportion of total Fe. Amounts of these iron sulfide mineral phases were overshadowed by concentrations of reactive Fe fractions, which dominated at all depths. With increasing depth, AVS–Fe accounted for a smaller portion of the total Fe pool and pyrite–Fe accounted for a concomitantly larger portion in the tidally inundated ASS. The accumulation of AVS can be limited due to its transformation to pyrite, which generally represents an important sink for reactive Fe species in sulfidic materials (e.g., Burton et al. 2006a, b). The residual Fe fraction is likely to be associated with Fe bound within the lattice structure of crystalline silicate minerals; this Fe is relatively unreactive with porewater sulfides (Canfield et al. 1992; Kostka and Luther 1995).

This result for tidally inundated ASS contrasted with the TI mangrove sediments, where a larger proportion of the total Fe pool was pyrite–Fe (~20%) and a much smaller fraction was AVS–Fe (~1%, Table 3). Concentrations of pyrite–Fe in the TI mangrove sediments were greater than that determined at all depth intervals in the tidally inundated ASS. In addition, poorly crystalline Fe accounted for only 25–37% of total Fe in the TI mangrove sediments, which was considerably smaller than the proportion measured in the surface soils at M and MF.

The reactivity of iron minerals towards sulfide is of major importance for the formation of RIS species. The surface soils at M and MF contained extraordinarily large concentrations of reactive Fe. The DOP estimates the amount of Fe available for reaction with sulfide over geologic time (Berner 1970), and is defined as:

\[ \text{DOP} = \frac{\text{Pyrite} \text{ Fe}}{\left(\text{Pyrite Fe} + \text{Reactive Fe}\right)} \]  

However, in sediments that contain a significant solid phase fraction of AVS, the DOP approach does not provide a good estimate the availability of reactive Fe over the much shorter time scales during early diagenesis. In these sediments, the effect of reactive Fe availability on sulfide accumulation may
Table 3  Speciation of solid phase Fe and degree of sulfidisation in soil and sediment profiles from M, MF and TI sampling sites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>AVS-Fe (µmol/g)</th>
<th>Poorly crystalline Fe (µmol/g)</th>
<th>Crystalline Fe (µmol/g)</th>
<th>Pyrite-Fe (µmol/g)</th>
<th>Total Fe (µmol/g)</th>
<th>DOS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>̄x</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>̄x</td>
<td>s</td>
<td>̄x</td>
<td>s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site M</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>639</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site MF</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Site TI</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05–0.15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.15–0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data represent the mean ± 1 SD of three profiles

then be described by the degree of sulfidisation (DOS) (Boesen and Postma 1988):

\[
\text{DOS} = \frac{(\text{Pyrite}-\text{Fe} + \text{AVS}-\text{Fe})}{(\text{Pyrite}-\text{Fe} + \text{AVS}-\text{Fe} + \text{Reactive Fe})}
\]

where reactive Fe is the HCl plus dithionite-extractable Fe minus AVS–Fe.

In the tidally inundated ASS, DOS values were small (~ 3%, Table 3), indicating that sulfidisation was not limited by the availability of reactive Fe in surface materials. Relatively higher DOS and lower DOP values also suggested that the conversion of AVS to pyrite may be inhibited in the surface soils at M and MF. In contrast, DOP and DOS exceeded 21% in TI mangrove sediments. These values were closer to DOS at depth in M and MF soils (Table 3). Burton et al. (2006a) found DOS was up to 100% in near surface mangrove sediments. The extraction technique used to define reactive Fe (Leventhal and Taylor 1990) and the assumed AVS stoichiometry of FeS (Rickard and Morse 2005) were considered to have minimal effect on DOS determinations in these tidally inundated ASS and mangrove sediments.

Solid phase S fractionation

Solid phase RIS fractions of soil profiles for tidally inundated ASS are shown in Fig. 5. Maximum AVS–S concentrations of 57 ± 5 µmol/g at M and 46 ± 39 µmol/g at MF occurred in the surface soils (0–0.05 m depth). These values are comparable with AVS found in other marine and estuarine sediments (<90 µmol/g, Morse and Cornwell 1987; Burton et al. 2005) where AVS accumulation is generally limited by progressive transformation to pyrite. However, abundant preservation of metastable iron sulfides in anoxic, brackish-water ASS sediments has been recently reported for the boreal zone (up to 0.88%, Boman et al. 2010). AVS–S concentrations decreased with depth in all tidally inundated ASS profiles. In contrast, maximum AVS–S (2.6 ± 2.6 µmol/g) in the TI mangrove sediments was at least an order of magnitude less than values in M and MF soils.

To identify the AVS mineral component of sedimentary sulfides in the tidally inundated ASS, XANES absorption spectra of selected soils were compared to a series of S reference standards and are shown in Fig. 6. All samples displayed white line peak energies of ~ 2481 eV consistent with SO$_4^{2-}$, which could be free sulfate in solution or sulfate contained in a mineral phase. With abundant AVS–S, M and MF surface soils (0–0.05 m depth) displayed peak energy positions closest to that of greigite. Minor differences occur in peak shape, which suggest chemical differences between the soils and the standards. The MF soil sample taken at 0.35–0.45 m depth is consistent with the peak energy position for either elemental S and/or pyrite. Pyrite and elemental S have rising edges that are very close
in energy (~2471.1 eV) which makes it difficult to
differentiate between the two based on S K-edge data
alone (Morgan et al. 2009). Extraction data indicate
that pyrite was the dominant RIS fraction in this
sample (Fig. 5). In natural systems, differences in
peak intensities can be related to particle size and
concentration effects (Morgan et al. 2009). From the
XANES data shown, it is clear that the RIS chemistry
of the tidally inundated ASS was dominated by Fe–S
mineral phases. This is consistent with AVS accu-
mulation in the surface soils and increased pyrite at
depth. Direct evidence of metastable AVS mineral
phases such as greigite has rarely been presented for
intertidal estuarine systems (e.g., Rickard and Morse
2005).

In the tidally inundated ASS, $S^{0}$–S was generally
most abundant in the surface soils (0–0.05 m depth),
with concentrations of 41 ± 12 µmol/g at M and
15 ± 11 µmol/g at MF (Fig. 5). $S^{3}$–S decreased with
depth in a similar manner to that of AVS–S. These
$S^{0}$–S concentrations are comparable to those present
in some estuarine and marine sediments (<50 µmol/g,
Thode-Andersen and Jørgensen 1989; Burton et al.
2006a), but less than those reported for some ASS
drain sediments (up to 396 µmol/g, Burton et al.
2006b). In 11 mangrove sediments, $S^{0}$–S concentra-
tions were very small (3.6 ± 0.9 µmol/g). The for-
mation of $S^{0}$ via $SO_{2}^{2−}$ reduction (Thode-Andersen
and Jørgensen 1989) is an important pathway leading

enriched reactive Fe on sulfidisation in a tidally inundated acid sulfate soil wetland. Biogeochemistry 103, 263–280

Fig. 5 Abundance of RIS fractions (µmol/g) in tidally inundated ASS profiles from vegetated (M) and unvegetated (MF) mudflat
sampling sites. Data points represent the mean of triplicate analyses

Fig. 6 Sulfur K-edge XANES spectra of selected surface
(0–0.05 m depth) and subsurface (0.35–0.45 m depth) soils
from M and MF sampling sites in comparison to S standards.
Vertical dashed lines denote the prominent white-line positions
of the XANES spectra for the samples
to the formation of pyrite and involving FeS clusters (Berner 1970; Rickard 1974). Total S content in the tidally inundated ASS spanned a range of 88–241 μmol/g (Fig. 2). A large proportion of this total S (generally >40%) was composed of RIS (Fig. 7a). Pyrite–S contents in M and MF soils generally increased with depth from a minimum of 14 ± 6 μmol/g (0.05–0.15 m depth) to a maximum of 58 ± 8 μmol/g (0.65–0.75 m depth, Fig. 5), where pyrite–S comprised the largest fraction of the total S pool (up to 54%, Fig. 7a). In TI mangrove sediments, pyrite–S was between 135 ± 37 and 157 ± 55 μmol/g and up to 66% of total S (Fig. 7a). This was more than twice the pyrite–S concentrations determined in the tidally inundated ASS, yet is comparable to those levels typically present in marine and estuarine sediments (Holmer et al. 1994; Burton et al. 2006a; Otero et al. 2009).

In most estuarine sedimentary systems, pyrite generally dominates the RIS fractions, with AVS and S0 typically comprising only a minor fraction of total RIS (Giblin and Wieder 1992; Morse and Rickard 2004). However, AVS–S and S0–S were the dominant forms of RIS in M and MF surface soils (generally >70%, Fig. 5), and comprised ~40% of the total S (Fig. 7a). In contrast, AVS–S and S0–S comprised only a very small proportion of the RIS formed in TI mangrove sediments. Over geological times, these sedimentary sulphides may be progressively transformed to the more stable pyrite, resulting in decreasing AVS to pyrite S ratios (Morse and Rickard 2004). AVS to pyrite S ratios decreased with depth in M and MF soil profiles and are shown in Fig. 7b. The tidally inundated ASS were characterised by high AVS to pyrite S ratios in the surface soils at M (up to 2.94) and MF (up to 2.00), indicating a low degree of conversion of AVS minerals to pyrite. These ratios are similar to the high values found in ASS drain sediments by Burton et al. (2006b) and in coastal marine sediments by Middelburg (1991) and Gagnon et al. (1995) that were considered anomalous, given conditions were conducive to pyrite formation. In contrast, TI mangrove sediments contained very low AVS–S to pyrite–S ratios (Fig. 7b), indicating an efficient conversion of AVS to pyrite. A number of biogeochemical parameters may explain the favoured AVS accumulation relative to that of pyrite, such as sediment deposition rates, redox conditions and iron availability (Thode-Andersen and Jørgensen 1989; Middelburg 1991; Gagnon et al. 1995). In fact, preservation of metastable iron sulphide is primarily controlled by limited sulphur supply, an abundance of Fe(II) and strongly reducing conditions in boreal brackish-water sediments (Boman et al. 2010). In these estuarine tidally inundated ASS, it is most likely that the persistence of AVS occurs as a result of the abundant reactive Fe favouring S sequestration in FeS (Canfield 1989; Gagnon et al. 1995; Boman et al. 2010).

Fe and S cycling—hysteresis of tidal remediation

Our results suggest that very large concentrations of reactive Fe in the tidally inundated ASS have exerted

Fig. 7 Speciation of solid phase S (a) and AVS–S to pyrite–S ratios (b) in soil and sediment profiles from M, MF and TI sampling sites. Data points represent the mean of triplicate profiles, with ± 1 SD for b.
a substantial influence on sulphidisation processes and RIS speciation in the near surface materials. Strong positive relationships were observed between poorly crystalline Fe and AVS–S ($r = 0.83; n = 54$) and $S^0$–S ($r = 0.85; n = 54$) concentrations, significant at the 0.01 level, and are shown in Fig. 8. This is consistent with abundant reactive Fe favouring the accumulation of AVS minerals and $S^0$ relative to that of pyrite in these soils (Burton et al. 2006b). It also suggests that the accumulation of pyrite in the tidally inundated ASS may be occurring slowly under the described geochemical conditions. The kinetics of RIS formation and speciation may reflect the relatively short time scale of remediation via tidal inundation (~5 years) at this site.

The reintroduction of tidal inundation to ASS has initiated similar diagenetic forces to those occurring in the undrained, intertidal mangrove sedimentary environment (i.e., reducing conditions, regular tidal inundation, abundant organic C, supply of marine derived $SO_4^{2−}$). However, the contemporary situation differs significantly from the historical environment, as there is now a far greater pool of reactive Fe in surface soils. This increase in the availability of reactive Fe has profound consequences for the coupled cycling of Fe and S, and is likely to cause a distinct hysteresis during the reformation of pyrite. This hysteresis can be represented by a conceptual model of biogeochemical cycling of reactive Fe and pyrite–S (Fig. 9a).

In this model, initial pyrite-rich, estuarine sediments (1) are oxidised due to the exclusion of tides and drainage of sediment. This oxidative process leads to (2), where pyrite–S is exhausted, thereby liberating acidity, Fe and $SO_4^{2−}$, and forming secondary Fe(III) minerals (Hicks et al. 1999; Johnston et al. 2010a, b). Following the reintroduction of tidal inundation, reductive dissolution of these Fe(III) minerals generates abundant Fe(II) in porewaters. Subsequent oxidation of porewater Fe(II) in interfacial sediments leads to the surface enrichment of reactive Fe (Johnston et al. 2010b) and is contemporaneous with $SO_4^{2−}$ reduction (3). Over time, the pool of reactive Fe is likely to be transformed via continued sulphidisation (1), leading to increasing pyrite accumulation in these soils.

This conceptual model is supported by measured field data from surface estuarine sediments (Fig. 9b). Undrained TI mangrove sediments (1) generally exhibited large pyrite–S contents and a small reactive Fe fraction (Fig. 9b), typical of sulphide-rich material deposited during the Holocene. In drained and oxidised surface ASS (2), the store of pyrite was consumed and the proportion of reactive Fe had increased. After reintroduction of tidal inundation (3), further enrichment of poorly crystalline Fe occurred and pyrite–S began to form in the surface M and MF soils.

Implications of enriched reactive Fe for sulphidisation—short and long-term consequences

In tidally inundated ASS landscapes, a major short-term consequence of enriched reactive Fe is the preferential formation and accumulation of reactive RIS species (such as AVS and $S^0$) in surface soils. In such situations, accumulation of these RIS fractions during tidal remediation poses a significant hazard (cf. accumulation as pyrite), as the oxidation of AVS and $S^0$ occurs far more rapidly than the oxidation of

---

Fig. 9 Conceptual representation of hysteresis in poorly crystalline Fe and pyrite S fractions of a tidally inundated surface ASS (a), where 1 refers to undrained, pyrite-rich, intertidal mangrove sediments; 2 refers to drainage of sediments due to tidal exclusion, causing pyrite oxidation and secondary Fe(III) mineral formation; and 3 refers to restoration of tidal inundation to soils, reductive dissolution of pyrite (e.g., Bush and Sullivan 1997; Burton et al. 2009). Closure of the tidal floodgates and cessation of tidal inundation may lead to rapid oxidation, acidification and reversal of remediation at the East Trinity field site.

However, further transformation of AVS and S\textsuperscript{0} to the more stable pyrite is likely over the long term, given continued tidal inundation. Reactive Fe is one of the primary factors controlling the formation of pyrite in intertidal sedimentary environments (e.g., Canfield 1989; Canfield et al. 1992). Hence, its surface enrichment may eventually promote elevated pyrite contents with sufficient time. TI mangrove sediments provide a local DOS reference value that can be used to estimate the future extent of sulfidisation in surface soils. The mean DOS value for TI mangrove sediments was 22% (Table 3) and reactive Fe content for the tidally inundated ASS (M and MF) ranged from 1179 to 3534 μmol/g at the same depth interval (0–0.05 m). By substituting in Eq. 4, we can use these DOS values to infer a theoretical value for pyrite–Fe in the tidally inundated ASS which accounts for the enriched reactive Fe. Assuming conservative mass behaviour and no change in AVS–S content, this equates to a pyrite–Fe content ranging from 189 to 704 μmol/g. This is equivalent to a pyrite content of 2–8% g/g in surface soils, which is higher than values determined prior to drainage by an order of magnitude (0.8% pyrite g/g in Fe(III) minerals, surface diagenetic enrichment of poorly crystalline Fe and reformation of pyrite S. Field data for surface materials (mean of 0–0.15 m depth) at the Firewood Creek site (b) under natural (1: TI) and drained (2: from Johnston et al., 2009b) conditions, and after the reintroduction of tidal inundation (3: M and MF).

TI mangrove sediments). DOP and DOS values of 80–100% are typical of intertidal mangrove sedimentary environments (Burton et al. 2006a; Otero et al. 2009). If such DOS were achieved in these tidally inundated ASS materials, this could eventually result in pyrite up to 42% g/g at the soil surface.

The kinetics of further sulfidisation in Fe-enriched ASS landscapes are yet to be quantified. However, the potential for elevated pyrite contents in surface soils has implications for ongoing site management and may prove to be an important feature of tidal remediation in ASS wetlands. This is particularly relevant given predicted sea-level rise associated with a warming global climate (Solomon et al. 2007). The enhanced accumulation of AVS minerals and pyrite in coastal ASS landscapes over the longer term, whether a result of planned remediation by the re-establishment of tidal inundation or by rising sea levels consequent of climate change, has important implications for many estuarine sedimentary environments around the world.

Conclusions

This study examined solid phase Fe and S partitioning following tidal inundation of a previously drained ASS wetland. An exceptional diagenetic enrichment of reactive Fe(III) oxides occurred in surface soils.
Reactive Fe accounted for almost 90% of the total Fe pool in tidally inundated ASS and exerted a major control on the formation, speciation and transformation of RIS. AVS and elemental sulfur ($S^0$) comprised dominant fractions of RIS species in these surface soils. Large AVS–S to pyrite–S ratios in soils containing abundant reactive Fe indicated an inefficient conversion of AVS minerals to pyrite. Large availability of reactive Fe favoured formation and accumulation of AVS minerals and $S^0$ relative to pyrite, despite geochemical conditions being conducive to pyrite formation. This appears to be related to the short time period (∼5 years) of tidal inundation of ASS and is associated with early diagenesis. Reactive RIS fractions in surface soils are likely to oxidise rapidly and cause acidification were tidal inundation ceased. However, the enrichment of reactive Fe may promote the enhanced sequestration of $S$ within pyrite over the longer term. The geochemical cycling of reactive Fe and RIS in tidally inundated ASS is toward increased sulphidisation, yet this pathway displays a distinct hysteresis. These findings provide an important new understanding of sulphidisation in tidally inundated ASS landscapes enriched in reactive Fe.
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