COPYRIGHT NOTICE

FedUni ResearchOnline

<http://researchonline.federation.edu.au>

This is a non-final version of an article published in final form in:

Denham, J., Feros, S., O'Brien, B. (2015) Four weeks of sprint interval training improves 5-km run performance, *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 29(8):2137-2141.

Which has been published in final form at:

<http://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000000862>

Copyright © 2015 National Strength and
Conditioning Association.

This is the author's version of the work. It is posted here with permission of
the publisher for your personal use. No further distribution is permitted.

Total document: 3612

Main text: 3070

Abstract: 204

Number of Tables: 2

Number of figures: 1

Number of references: 21

Four weeks of sprint interval training improves 5 km run performance

Short title: SIT improves 5 km run performance

Authors: Joshua Denham, Simon A. Feros and Brendan J. O'Brien

Faculty of Health Sciences, Federation University Australia, Mt Helen, 3350, Australia

Corresponding author: Joshua Denham, Faculty of Health Sciences, Federation University
Australia, University Drive, Mt Helen, 3350. Email: j.denham@federation.edu.au, Ph: +61 3
5327 6660.

SIT improves 5 km run performance

20

21

Total document: 3612

22

Main text: 3070

23

Abstract: 204

24

Number of Tables: 2

25

Number of figures: 1

26

Number of references: 21

27

28

Four weeks of sprint interval training improves 5 km run performance

29

Short title: SIT improves 5 km run performance

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

ABSTRACT

Sprint interval training (SIT) rapidly improves cardio-respiratory fitness but demands less training time and volume than traditional endurance training. While the health and fitness benefits caused by SIT have received considerable research focus, the effect of short-term SIT on 5 km run performance is unknown. Thirty healthy untrained participants (aged 18–25 years) were allocated to a control ($n = 10$) or a SIT ($n = 20$) group. SIT involved three to eight sprints at maximal intensity, three times a week for four weeks. Sprints were progressed to eight by the 12th session. All participants completed a 5 km time-trial on a public running track and an incremental treadmill test in an exercise physiology laboratory to determine 5 km run performance and maximum oxygen uptake, respectively, before and after the four week intervention. Relative to the controls, sprint interval trained participants improved 5 km run performance by 4.5% ($p < 0.001$) and this was accompanied by improvements in absolute and relative maximum oxygen uptake (4.9%, $p = 0.04$ and 4.5%, $p = 0.045$, respectively). Therefore, Short-term SIT significantly improves 5 km run performance in untrained young men. We believe SIT is a time-efficient means of improving cardio-respiratory fitness and 5 km endurance performance.

KEYWORDS: Time-trial, training load, SIT, VO_{2max}

61 INTRODUCTION

62 Endurance run performance is important for individuals of average cardio-respiratory fitness
63 who aspire to improve their fitness and performance quickly and efficiently. Moreover,
64 considering the importance of cardio-respiratory fitness and endurance performance in ball
65 sports such as soccer, Australian Rules Football and cricket (5, 15, 18) there is immense
66 coaching emphasis on reducing training load to minimise injury risk, but maximise training
67 time to focus on other skills important to the sport. SIT is an alternative mode of training to
68 traditional constant-rate training as SIT improves cardio-respiratory fitness to a similar
69 degree as constant-rate training but requires 90% less weekly energy expenditure and 66%
70 less total training time (7).

71 Typically SIT consists of short, maximal efforts of 15–30 s, repeated several times
72 interspersed with 3–5 mins of recovery. Indeed, SIT may be more effective at improving
73 endurance performance than both continuous running and other high-intensity interval
74 training (HIT) programmes. For example, 20 individuals who completed seven to twelve
75 efforts of 30 s sprints thrice weekly for six weeks, improved 3 km run performance greater
76 than individuals who completed constant rate running or four to six four minute efforts at 3
77 km average running velocity, over six weeks (4). Others have, however, demonstrated
78 recreationally active participants similarly improve 2 km run performance after either six
79 weeks of SIT (4.6%) or constant rate running (5.9%) (13). Therefore, SIT seems to enhance
80 run performance over 2-3 km after six weeks of training, but whether these endurance
81 benefits improve run performance in untrained participants in shorter time-frames and over
82 distances exceeding 3 km (a distance requiring greater reliance on aerobic metabolism) is
83 unknown. Furthermore, the lack of inclusion of experimental controls in previous studies (4,
84 6, 13) obfuscates the genuine impact of SIT on endurance run performance.

85

86 Therefore, the purpose of our study was to determine whether a novel and relatively short-
87 term (four week), thrice weekly SIT programme improves cardio-respiratory fitness and 5 km
88 run performance. To that end, we assessed 5 km run performance and $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ before and after
89 either a novel four week SIT (four to eight 30-s maximal sprints, thrice weekly) programme
90 for cases ($n = 20$), or no training for controls ($n = 10$). We hypothesised that relative to the
91 controls, the sprint-interval trained individuals would significantly improve 5 km run
92 performance and $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$.

93

94 **METHODS**

95 *Experimental approach to the problem*

96 This study is a controlled trial, where healthy participants performed either four weeks of SIT
97 or no training, to evaluate the effect of SIT on 5 km run performance and $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$. $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was
98 measured during a maximal treadmill test conducted in the university exercise physiology
99 laboratory and the 5 km time-trial was assessed at a public running track. These tests were
100 performed on separate days within one week of commencing the initial SIT session and
101 within one week after the final SIT session.

102

103 *Subjects*

104 Thirty, apparently healthy young men (18–25 years) were recruited for this study.
105 Participants were initially screened to ensure they were not currently engaging in any
106 structured high-intensity aerobic exercise training. All participants had not completed any

SIT improves 5 km run performance

structured aerobic exercise training in the past year. Twenty participants were allocated to the SIT (cases) group and 10 participants were allocated to the control group.

Participants gave written informed consent and this study was approved by the University's Human Research Ethics Committee.

Procedures

Participant $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was assessed during a maximal treadmill test, by pulmonary analysis conducted in an exercise physiology laboratory at the university. Before the $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ test participants were fitted with a two-way breathing valve (Hans Rudolph) and expired air was collected into an online metabolic system (Moxus) for gas (O_2 and CO_2) analysis. The metabolic system was calibrated before each test using ambient air and gas of known composition. Participants were given a standardised 3-min warm-up at $10 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$. The $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ test commenced at $10 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$, and treadmill speed was progressively increased by $1 \text{ km}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$ every second minute until volitional exhaustion. $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ was determined as the highest O_2 value averaged over 60-s. All laboratory testing was performed preprandially at the same time of day (8–10 AM). Participants were encouraged to hydrate the night before and morning of testing. Within a week of the $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ assessment each participant completed a supervised 5 km run time-trial on a flat running track in a local park. Participants were familiar with 5 km circuit and were advised to hydrate before running. All testing was conducted in the afternoon (4–6 PM). Briefly, participants completed a short 10-min warm-up including some light aerobic exercise and dynamic stretches. Participants were supervised and instructed to run maximally at their own pace.

SIT improves 5 km run performance

130

131 Cases completed a standardised SIT programme performed three times a week over four
132 weeks (total of 12 sessions). The sprint duration and recovery period was controlled at 30-s
133 and 4-mins (passive), respectively. Participants were requested to run maximally without
134 pacing for each 30-s sprint. All training was conducted on the University's sports oval and an
135 Accredited Exercise Physiologist (with Exercise and Sport Science Australia) provided
136 participants with verbal encouragement, and supervised each training session. The SIT
137 volume increased from four to eight sprints over the four week intervention (Table 1). Before
138 each training session, participants completed a standardised warm-up entailing a 5-min
139 aerobic warm-up, dynamic stretches and some short (20 m) runs at approximately 70, 80, 90
140 and 100% effort.

141

<<Table 1 about here>>

142 All participants were instructed not to deviate from their current physical activity, exercise (if
143 any) and dietary habits during the four week intervention period.

144 *Statistical analyses*

145 All statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 20,
146 IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). Data were tested for normality using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov
147 and Shapiro-Wilk tests, and non-parametric data was log-transformed before further analysis.
148 Paired samples *t*-tests were used to examine fitness and performance changes after SIT,
149 relative to the control group. To ascertain the test-retest reliability of VO_{2max} and 5 km time-
150 trial performance, the intraclass correlation coefficient (2, k), coefficient of variation (log-
151 transformed), standard error of measurement, and systematic bias (determined by paired
152 samples *t*-test) were calculated. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

RESULTS

Test-retest reliability data is outlined in Table 2. The 5 km time-trial test had excellent reliability with an intraclass correlation coefficient of 0.99 and a 3.4% coefficient of variation.

<<Table 2 about here>>

Figure 1 outlines the fitness and performance changes after four weeks of SIT. Relative to the control group who showed a marginal increase in 5 km time-trial performance (mean $\pm$ SD: 1478 ± 350 to 1447 ± 347 , -2%, $p = 0.20$, Table 2 and Figure 1), cases had a significant improvement in 5 km time-trial performance by an average of 65 s (mean $\pm$ SD: 1464 ± 298 to 1368 ± 270 , -4.4%, $p < 0.001$) following four weeks of SIT (Figure 1). While absolute and relative $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ increased after SIT in cases (mean $\pm$ SD: 3.8 ± 0.6 to 4.0 ± 0.6 , 4.9%, $p = 0.04$ and 49.6 ± 4.6 to 51.4 ± 3.8 , 4.5%, $p = 0.045$, respectively), absolute and relative $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ were unchanged in controls (mean $\pm$ SD: 3.7 ± 0.6 to 3.7 ± 0.6 , 0.6%, $p = 0.73$ and 49.6 ± 6.7 to 49.3 ± 6.7 -0.6%, $p = 0.72$, respectively, Table 2 and Figure 1).

<<Figure 1 about here>>

DISCUSSION

The purpose of our study was to determine whether a novel and short-term (four week), thrice weekly SIT programme improves cardio-respiratory fitness and 5 km run performance in untrained males. To our knowledge, we are the first to demonstrate that 5 km run performance is significantly improved following short-term (four weeks), low-volume SIT. Considering the marginal but not statistically significant improvement in the controls (31 s, 2%), the marked (96 s, 6.5%) improvement after four weeks of SIT translated to a mean 65 s

SIT improves 5 km run performance

175 (4.4%) faster 5 km run performance in cases. Notably, 5 km run performance was improved
176 in conjunction with increased $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ (4.5%).

177

178 Our data showing SIT enhances 5 km run performance corroborates others' showing SIT
179 enhances 2 km (13) and 3 km (4, 6) run performance in recreationally trained individuals. We
180 are the first to demonstrate four weeks of three times per week SIT, in the form of running,
181 significantly improves 5 km run performance – a prestigious and Olympic running distance.
182 Interestingly, the impact of SIT on 5 km endurance performance is established in other
183 exercise modalities. A short-term (two-week) cycle SIT intervention improved 5 km cycle
184 performance to a similar magnitude to our protocol (5.2%) (10). Whether SIT improves run
185 performance over longer distances warrants attention. Additionally, whether SIT benefits
186 already well-trained runners is yet to be fully understood, as data has, to date, been equivocal
187 (1, 11). Nevertheless, we verify SIT as an effective means of improving running endurance
188 performance in untrained young men.

189

190 The increased 5 km run performance in our study is similar to previously observed
191 improvements after traditional constant-rate endurance training. It was reported, an
192 intervention of three running sessions per week at 75% of $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ for six weeks improved 5
193 km run time by approximately 80 s (~5%) in 39 untrained individuals (20). Additionally,
194 others have shown 5 km time-trial improved by 78 s (5%) in a group that ran for 20 mins
195 three times per week for six weeks, initially starting at 0.8 km·hr⁻¹ below their individual
196 lactate threshold speed with progression to 0.8 km·hr⁻¹ above their individual pre-training
197 lactate threshold speed (14). Importantly, a control group was not included in these studies to
198 establish the error of the 5 km time-trial test, which we established was 31 s. Collectively, it

SIT improves 5 km run performance

199 appears SIT improves 5 km run performance to a similar extent as constant-rate training, but
200 in a quicker time frame (four vs. six weeks) and a smaller training duration (249 mins vs. 360
201 mins) (14).

202

203 The improvement in run performance was accompanied by a corresponding improvement in
204 $\dot{V}O_{2max}$. Although previous constant-rate training studies have demonstrated participants
205 increased their $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ to a similar magnitude observed in the our study (3.8–7.7%), they also
206 showed marked improvements in their participants lactate threshold, which could have
207 contributed to the improvements in run performance (14, 20), particularly because not all
208 cases had improvements to $\dot{V}O_{2max}$. Alternatively, an improvement in cardiac output may
209 have facilitated the improvement in 5 km run speed, as opposed to improvements in muscle
210 oxidative capability, based on the concepts $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ is chiefly governed by the maximal
211 cardiac output and the lactate threshold by muscle oxidative capability (12). Other
212 mechanisms by which SIT may enhance 5 km run performance include improved run
213 economy, running mechanics and potassium regulation. It was previously reported that
214 moderately-trained runners' run economy was improved by 7% after four weeks of SIT, but
215 these subject did not improve their 10 km time-trial performance (11). Moreover, increases in
216 knee flexor endurance, coupled with decreases in knee flexion torque and knee
217 flexion/extension ratios are also adaptations associated with sprint training that could have
218 contributed to the improvement in 5 km run performance observed in our study (19). Others
219 have shown in well-trained runners, SIT enhanced key components of the Na^+/K^+ pump that
220 would aid to minimise disturbances in nerve membrane potential and maintain sprint
221 performance, and this was associated with an approximate 3% improvement in 3 km and
222 10km run performance (1). The increase in muscle oxidative and anaerobic enzyme activity

SIT improves 5 km run performance

223 are adaptations gained from SIT and is another possible mechanism for the facilitated
224 endurance performance observed in participants from our study (2, 3, 8, 16).

225

226 A limitation of our study is that we did not include females. Some of the benefits gained from
227 SIT seem to be dependent of gender. For example, males had greater muscle protein synthesis
228 of proteins important for mitochondrial biogenesis after nine sessions of SIT compared to
229 their female counterparts (17). Given these muscle adaptations are vital for endurance
230 performance (2, 8, 9), whether short-term SIT improves 5 km run performance in females is
231 left for future investigations.

232

233 Future research could focus on optimising training variables, such as the recovery time
234 between sprints, number of sprint repetitions, time required of each sprint, number of sessions
235 required per week and the optimal length of SIT to improve fitness and performance. In fact,
236 the efficiency of HIT in evoking positive adaptations may even be more potent than
237 originally envisaged. It was recently revealed one maximal 4-min effort at 90% of heart rate
238 maximum on a cycle ergometer, performed three times a week for 10 weeks improves $\dot{V}O_{2max}$
239 by an average of 10% (21).

240 In conclusion our data reveals SIT is a highly effective strategy to improve endurance
241 running quickly in previously untrained young men.

242

243

244

PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS

Physical conditioning professionals must be aware of efficient means of exercise training that augment cardio-respiratory fitness and endurance performance. The results from our study support the use of short-term, low-volume SIT as an effective means for rapidly improving cardio-respiratory fitness and endurance performance. Therefore, team sport coaches should consider incorporating short-term SIT within periodised programmes to improve athlete endurance performance quickly and also to prevent musculoskeletal overuse injuries – a risk associated with traditional forms of exercise that rely on large training volumes to improve endurance performance. What is more, SIT has an added advantage of simultaneously enhancing anaerobic qualities required in team sports. Finally, SIT should be encouraged to individuals who perceive time as an obstacle to exercising, as four weeks of thrice weekly SIT consisting of four to eight 30-s sprints (just over 4 hrs of total exercise, including rest periods) quickly enhances cardio-respiratory fitness and endurance performance.

REFERENCES

1. Bangsbo J, Gunnarsson TP, Wendell J, Nybo L, and Thomassen M. Reduced volume and increased training intensity elevate muscle Na⁺-K⁺ pump alpha2-subunit expression as well as short- and long-term work capacity in humans. *J Appl Physiol* 107: 1771–1780, 2009.
2. Burgomaster KA, Heigenhauser GJ, and Gibala MJ. Effect of short-term sprint interval training on human skeletal muscle carbohydrate metabolism during exercise and time-trial performance. *J Appl Physiol (1985)* 100: 2041–2047, 2006.
3. Burgomaster KA, Howarth KR, Phillips SM, Rakobowchuk M, Macdonald MJ, McGee SL, and Gibala MJ. Similar metabolic adaptations during exercise after low

SIT improves 5 km run performance

- 269 volume sprint interval and traditional endurance training in humans. *J Physiol* 586:
 270 151–160, 2008.
- 271 4. Cicioni-Kolsky D, Lorenzen C, Williams MD, and Kemp JG. Endurance and sprint
 272 benefits of high-intensity and supramaximal interval training. *Eur J Sport Sci* 13:
 273 304–311, 2013.
- 274 5. Dawson B, Hopkinson R, Appleby B, Stewart G, and Roberts C. Player movement
 275 patterns and game activities in the Australian Football League. *J Sci Med Sport* 7:
 276 278–291, 2004.
- 277 6. Esfarjani F and Laursen PB. Manipulating high-intensity interval training: effects on
 278 VO₂max, the lactate threshold and 3000 m running performance in moderately
 279 trained males. *J Sci Med Sport* 10: 27–35, 2007.
- 280 7. Gibala MJ, Little JP, Macdonald MJ, and Hawley JA. Physiological adaptations to
 281 low-volume, high-intensity interval training in health and disease. *J Physiol* 590:
 282 1077–1084, 2012.
- 283 8. Gibala MJ, Little JP, van Essen M, Wilkin GP, Burgomaster KA, Safdar A, Raha S,
 284 and Tarnopolsky MA. Short-term sprint interval versus traditional endurance training:
 285 similar initial adaptations in human skeletal muscle and exercise performance. *J*
 286 *Physiol* 575: 901–911, 2006.
- 287 9. Gibala MJ and McGee SL. Metabolic adaptations to short-term high-intensity interval
 288 training: a little pain for a lot of gain? *Exerc Sport Sci Rev* 36: 58–63, 2008.
- 289 10. Hazell TJ, Macpherson RE, Gravelle BM, and Lemon PW. 10 or 30-s sprint interval
 290 training bouts enhance both aerobic and anaerobic performance. *Eur J Appl Physiol*
 291 110: 153–160, 2010.
- 292 11. Iaia FM, Hellsten Y, Nielsen JJ, Fernstrom M, Sahlin K, and Bangsbo J. Four weeks
 293 of speed endurance training reduces energy expenditure during exercise and maintains

SIT improves 5 km run performance

- 294 muscle oxidative capacity despite a reduction in training volume. *J Appl Physiol* 106:
 295 73–80, 2009.
- 296 12. Joyner MJ and Coyle EF. Endurance exercise performance: the physiology of
 297 champions. *J Physiol* 586: 35–44, 2008.
- 298 13. Macpherson RE, Hazell TJ, Olver TD, Paterson DH, and Lemon PW. Run sprint
 299 interval training improves aerobic performance but not maximal cardiac output. *Med*
 300 *Sci Sports Exerc* 43: 115–122, 2011.
- 301 14. McNicol AJ, O'Brien BJ, Paton CD, and Knez WL. The effects of increased absolute
 302 training intensity on adaptations to endurance exercise training. *J Sci Med Sport* 12:
 303 485–489, 2009.
- 304 15. Petersen CJ, Pyne DB, Portus MR, and Dawson BT. Comparison of player movement
 305 patterns between 1-day and test cricket. *J Strength Cond Res* 25: 1368–1373, 2011.
- 306 16. Rodas G, Ventura JL, Cadefau JA, Cusso R, and Parra J. A short training programme
 307 for the rapid improvement of both aerobic and anaerobic metabolism. *Eur J Appl*
 308 *Physiol* 82: 480–486, 2000.
- 309 17. Scalzo RL, Peltonen GL, Binns SE, Shankaran M, Giordano GR, Hartley DA,
 310 Klochak AL, Lonac MC, Paris HL, Szallar SE, Wood LM, Peelor FF, 3rd, Holmes
 311 WE, Hellerstein MK, Bell C, Hamilton KL, and Miller BF. Greater muscle protein
 312 synthesis and mitochondrial biogenesis in males compared with females during sprint
 313 interval training. *FASEB J* 28: 2705–2714, 2014.
- 314 18. Scanlan AT, Dascombe BJ, Reaburn P, and Dalbo VJ. The physiological and activity
 315 demands experienced by Australian female basketball players during competition. *J*
 316 *Sci Med Sport* 15: 341–347, 2012.

SIT improves 5 km run performance

- 317 19. Shealy MJ, Callister R, Dudley GA, and Fleck SJ. Human torque velocity adaptations
318 to sprint, endurance, or combined modes of training. *Am J Sports Med* 20: 581–586,
319 1992.
- 320 20. Stratton EM, O'Brien BJ, Harvey JT, Blitvich J, McNicol AJ, Janissen D, Paton CD,
321 and Knez WL. Final treadmill velocity best predicts 5 km run performance. *Int J*
322 *Sports Med* 30: 40–45, 2009.
- 323 21. Tjonna AE, Leinan IM, Bartnes AT, Jenssen BM, Gibala MJ, Winett RA, and Wisloff
324 U. Low- and high-volume of intensive endurance training significantly improves
325 maximal oxygen uptake after 10-weeks of training in healthy men. *PLoS One* 8:
326 e65382, 2013.

327

328 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

329 J.D and S.A.F are both supported by Australian Post-Graduate Award (APA) Scholarships.

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

SIT improves 5 km run performance

FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1. 5 km time-trial performance and relative $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ before and after SIT.

Relative to the controls (-2.1, $p = 0.20$), the cases had a significant improvement to 5 km run performance (-4.4%, $p < 0.001$) and relative $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ (4.5%, $p < 0.05$) after SIT. Data are expressed as mean values before and after either four weeks of SIT (cases) or no exercise training (controls).

Legend: * $p < 0.05$; *** $p < 0.001$.

347

348 **TABLES**349 **Table 1.** Description of the four-week SIT programme.

Week	Session #	Training load	Training sprint	Total session
		(sprints)	time (min)	time (min)
1	1	3	1.5	9.5
	2	4	2	14
	3	5	2.5	18.5
2	4	4	2	14
	5	5	2.5	18.5
	6	6	3	23
3	7	5	2.5	18.5
	8	6	3	23
	9	7	3.5	27.5
4	10	6	3	23
	11	7	3.5	27.5
	12	8	4	32
Total				
	time	66	33	249

350 Legend: # number.

351 **Table 2.** Test-retest reliability data for the 5 km time-trial test.

Variable	Mean trial 1	Mean trial 2	Mean difference	<i>p</i> -value	SEM	ICC	CV (%)
VO _{2max} (ml·kg·min ⁻¹)	49.57 ± 6.71	49.29 ± 6.67	-0.28	0.72	1.69	0.967 (0.867–0.992)	3.6 (2.5–6.7)
5 km time-trial (s)	1478.10 ± 349.95	1447.50 ± 347.24	-30.6	0.18	47.07	0.991 (0.963–0.998)	3.4 (2.4–6.4)

352 Results of the 5 km time-trial test in the control group (n = 10), reliability as measured by mean difference of two trials, intraclass correlation
 353 (ICC), *p*-value (two-tailed paired *t*-test), standard error of measurement (SEM) and typical error as a coefficient of variation (CV) percentage
 354 (%). Upper and lower confidence intervals are expressed in parentheses; confidence intervals are set at 95%. SDs are represented after the mean
 355 of trials 1 and 2.

SIT improves 5km run performance

356

357